

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/767,585	01/29/2004	Reinhold Bruestle	147261	6183	
		7590 04/05/2007 SMALL PATENT LAW GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
	611 OLIVE ST	REET, SUITE 1611		JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J		
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101		J 63101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3768		
		- <u>- </u>				
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
_	3 MO	NTHS	04/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\leq	<i>p</i> .			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/767,585	BRUESTLE, REINHOLD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaworski Francis J.	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ja	nuary 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 23</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		Chara			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/767,585

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 23 as amended are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barthe et al or Flesch, in either case further in view of Ingebrigtsen et al..

If the former be viewed as falling short of anticipation e.g. under the argument that a glue glob may remain soft at a wetness barrier such as is the case with with certain plumbing sealants and therefore in which case the connecting member would lack a rigid portion at the seal, it would nonetheless have been obvious in view of Ingebrigtsen et al for a flex circuit connecting member 12 (to which the primary flex circuit connecting member 11 for this mechanical sector scanhead of the Barthe et al type connects) to connect to rigid pins 3A,3B of plug 3 which pins form part of that seal to the wet chamber. And insofar as it is not literally stated in the latter that there is a dry chamber beyond the plug (which is a reasonable assertion absent any further information since the fluid-filled scanhead is detachable) then it would have been further obvious in view of Flesch et al that this is the case, since the latter notes col. 4 lines 57 – 60 that the presence of an O-ring such as depicted on the perimeter of plug 3 in Ingebrigtsen et al would suggest a transition from a wet to dry chamber environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

Additionally, since the claim 1 format for example is an open construct, i.e. 'comprising' one may read for 'single' - first -- and therefore in Ingebrigtsen there is at least a single rigid connector in terms of rigid seal 3 which seals the first chamber from the second, and flexible cable portions which would be connected to pins 3A etc, such that the former may comprise at least a single or a first such single connection rearward of the wet dry seal. Otherwise the arguments remain as set forth in the prior Office action. Dow et al US4849925 is cited as of general interest.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

3-31-07

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Exeminer